



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,659	10/24/2003	Jeffrey P. Snover	MSI-1741US	9647
22801	7590	08/22/2008	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2165			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
08/22/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,659	SNOVER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Robert C. Peck (Attorney of Record). (3)_____.

(2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: August 18, 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Snover US Pub. 2004/0243543 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested the interview to present proposed claim amendments and to discuss the difference between the prior Snover Application used as prior art and the current one. The representative believes the difference lies in the extension to accommodate unsupported data types. The Examiner will take the amendment and discussion into consideration once filed with office.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.